



# THE COLUMBIA PLOT OF THE QCD PHASE TRANSITION

FRANCESCA CUTERI, ALFREDO D'AMBROSIO, REINHOLD KAISER, OWE PHILIPSEN, ALENA SCHÖN, ALESSANDRO SCIARRA

> Institut für Theoretische Physik Goethe-Universität - Frankfurt am Main





INTRODUCTION		<b>COMPUTATIONAL STRATEGY</b>	
Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is the theory of the strong interactions	The Columbia plot	Lattice setup	Analysis of transitions in finite volumes
<ul> <li>quarks and gluons are fundamental degrees of freedom of QCD</li> </ul>	at physical quark masses and zero baryonic chemical potential: thermal transition is analytic, smooth crossover [1]	<ul> <li>discretization of continuum QCD action: unim- proved Wilson gauge action, Wilson and stag- gered fermions actions (for expressions see [5])</li> </ul>	Iocate phase transitions and identify their nature by finite size scaling analyses of standardized moments of appropriate order parameter O
The QCD phase diagram	order of thermal transition depends on masses of the degenerate u, d quarks and the s quark	bare parameters:	$B_n(\beta, am, N_{\sigma}) = \frac{\langle (\mathcal{O} - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle)^n \rangle}{/(\mathcal{O} - \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle)^2 \rangle^{n/2}}$
at large temperature or densities: quarks and glu- ons form <b>quark-gluon plasma</b> due to weak cou- pling	two possible scenarios predicted from linear sigma models [2] (see figures below)	<ul> <li>lattice gauge coupling \$\beta = 6/g^2\$</li> <li>quark mass \$am\$ / hopping parameter \$\kappa = \frac{1}{2am+8}\$</li> </ul>	► phase boundary $\beta_{pc}$ : $B_3(\beta_{pc}, am, N_{\sigma}) = 0$
<ul> <li>at low temperature and density: large coupling leads to numerous <b>bound hadron states</b> consist-</li> </ul>	infinite and zero quark masses: non-analytic phase transition due to spontaneous breaking of Z(3)-center or chiral symmetry, respectively	<ul> <li>lattice of size N<sub>τ</sub> × N<sub>σ</sub><sup>3</sup> with lattice spacing a</li> <li>temperature T = 1/(a(β)N<sub>τ</sub>)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>order of the transition: B<sub>4</sub>(β<sub>pc</sub>, am, N<sub>σ</sub>)</li> <li>B<sub>4</sub> values in the thermodynamic limit</li> </ul>

- ing of quarks and gluons
- QCD phase diagram: form of matter as function of temperature and (baryon) matter density
- non-perturbative approach: Monte Carlo simulations of lattice QCD to study the transition between hadronic phase and quark-gluon plasma
- sign problem prohibits direct simulations at real baryonic chemical potential
- investigate the thermal transition also for unphysical parameters



- first order region in heavy quark mass corner persists in continuum limit [3]
- first order region for small quark masses recedes strongly with decreasing lattice spacing [4]
- Is the chiral transition of first or second order in the continuum limit?
- our group presents evidence for the continuum Columbia plot in the heavy quark mass corner and for the chiral transition





- Numerical Tools
  - ► LQCD code: CL<sup>2</sup>QCD [6] based on OpenCL
  - handle thousands of simulations: BaHaMAS [7]
- analysis: python scripts bundled in PLASMA



(a)  $B_3(|L|)$  and  $B_4(|L|)$  of different Markov chains (left) and of merged raw and reweighted data (right) (Wilson fermions,  $\kappa = 0.11, N_\tau \times N_\sigma^3 = 6 \times 36^3$ )

1 1.604 3

1. order | Z(2) 2. order | crossover

▶ on finite  $N_{\sigma}$  expand  $B_4$  about the critical point

 $B_4(\beta_{pc}, am, N_{\tau}, N_{\sigma}) = (1.604 + Bx + \dots) \left( 1 + CN_{\sigma}^{y_t - y_h} + \dots \right)$ 

with the scaling variable  $x(am, N_{\sigma}) \propto N_{\sigma}^{1/\nu}$  and Ising 3D critical exponents  $y_t = 1/\nu, y_h$ 



(b)  $B_4(\beta_{pc})$  for  $N_{\tau} = 8$  Wilson fermions and a common fit for all volumes according to the kurtosis scaling formula with C = 0

## THE HEAVY MASS CORNER

- motivation to study the heavy quark mass regime:
  - understand the interplay of the chiral symmetry restoration and deconfinement at the physical point

### THE CHIRAL TRANSITION

- A novel way of analyzing the chiral limit [10]
- reduces systematic errors of required extrapolations significantly

	$ \begin{array}{c c} \bullet & N_{\rm f} = 4 \\ \bullet & N_{\rm f} = 7 \end{array} $	
0.5		

- *Z*(2)-critical boundary as **first-principles benchmark** for effective theories
- simulations are extremely expensive due to the requirement of large and fine lattices
- order parameter associated with spontaneous breaking of global
   *Z*(3) center symmetry is absolute value of **Polyakov loop**

 $L = \frac{1}{N_{\sigma}^{3}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}} \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \prod_{n_{4}=0}^{N_{\tau}-1} U_{4}(\boldsymbol{n}, n_{4}) \right].$ 

- ▶ simulations for  $N_f=2$  Wilson [8] and staggered fermions [9]
- scaling variables for Wilson and staggered fermions are

$$x_W(\kappa, N_{\sigma}) = (\kappa - \kappa_c) \cdot N_{\sigma}^{1/\nu}$$
$$x_S(am, N_{\sigma}) = \left(\frac{1}{am} - \frac{1}{am_c}\right) \cdot N_{\sigma}^{1/\nu}$$



- **non-integer** N<sub>f</sub> are simulated employing the staggered fermion action for degenerate quarks [11]
- order parameter: chiral condensate  $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ , scaling variable for analysis:  $x = (am am_c)N_{\sigma}^{1/\nu}$
- change from first order to second order chiral phase transition (as in second-order scenario Columbia plot) requires a tricritical N<sup>tric</sup><sub>f</sub>
- functional form of Z(2)-critical surface entering the tricritical point is governed by tricritical scaling

# The chiral critical surface



Chiral critical line for three different lattice spacings in  $((m/T), N_f)$ -



Chiral critical line for several  $N_{\rm f}$  in  $((am)^{2/5}, N_{\rm f})$ -plane and NLO fits

- ▶ up to  $N_{\rm f} = 7$ , lines are compatible with the existence of a finite  $N_{\tau}^{\rm tric}$
- first order region is not continuously connected to continuum limit
- continuum chiral phase transition must be of second order

### **Concluding Remarks**

- convincing evidence for the qualitative form of the Columbia plot
- chiral transition is of second order for all values of  $m_s$
- open question: size of scaling regime, important for physical point
- For a complete understanding of cut-off effects: study critical lines also for larger lattice spacings [12]



Critical pion masses for staggered and Wilson fermions

- critical phase boundary expressed by pseudo-scalar meson masses
- ▶ Wilson fermions: results for three lattice spacings  $N_{\tau}=6, 8, 10$
- running simulations on finer lattices for continuum limit

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through the grant CRC-TR 211 "Strong-interaction matter under extreme conditions" – project number 315477589 – TRR 211. F.C. and O.P. in addition acknowledge support by the State of Hesse within the Research Cluster ELEMENTS (Project ID 500/10.006). The authors thank the staff of L-CSC at GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung and the staff of Goethe-HLR at the CSC of the Goethe-University Frankfurt for computer time and support. plane and leading order (LO) + next-to-leading order (NLO) fits

- critical lines in ((m/T), N<sub>f</sub>)-plane separate crossover (above) from first order transition (below)
- $\blacktriangleright$  first order region grows with increasing  $N_{\rm f}$
- ► fit to LO + NLO tricritical scaling relation gives  $N_{\rm f}^{\rm tric}(N_{\tau})$ 
  - $am_{c}(N_{\rm f}, N_{\tau}) = D(N_{\tau}) \left( N_{\rm f} N_{\rm f}^{\rm tric}(N_{\tau}) \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} + E(N_{\tau}) \left( N_{\rm f} N_{\rm f}^{\rm tric}(N_{\tau}) \right)^{\frac{7}{2}} + \dots$

► tricritical  $N_{\rm f}^{\rm tric}(N_{\tau})$  grows for increasing  $N_{\tau}$  (decreasing *a*)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aoki et al. "The Order of the quantum chromodynamics transition predicted by the standard model of particle physics". In: *Nature* 443 (2006), pp. 675–678.
- [2] R. D. Pisarski and F. Wilczek. "Remarks on the Chiral Phase Transition in Chromodynamics". In: *Phys. Rev.* D29 (1984), pp. 338–341.
- [3] G. Boyd et al. "Thermodynamics of SU(3) lattice gauge theory". In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 469 (1996), pp. 419–444.
- [4] O. Philipsen. "Constraining the phase diagram of QCD at finite temperature and density". In: *PoS* LATTICE2019 (2019), p. 273.
- [5] C. Gattringer and C. B. Lang. *Quantum chromodynamics on the lattice*. Vol. 788. Berlin: Springer, 2010. ISBN: 978-3-642-01849-7, 978-3-642-01850-3.
- [6] A. Sciarra et al. CL<sup>2</sup> QCD v1.1. Version v1.1. Feb. 2021. URL: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5121917.
- [7] A. Sciarra. BaHaMAS. Version BaHaMAS-0.4.0. Feb. 2021. URL: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4577425.

#### The Columbia plot according to our simulation results

- 9] R. Kaiser, O. Philipsen, and A. Sciarra. "The QCD Deconfinement Critical Point for  $N_{\rm f}=2$  Flavors of Staggered Fermions". In: *38th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory*. Dec. 2021.
- [10] F. Cuteri, O. Philipsen, and A. Sciarra. "On the order of the QCD chiral phase transition for different numbers of quark flavours". In: *JHEP* 11 (2021), p. 141.
- [11] F. Cuteri, O. Philipsen, and A. Sciarra. "QCD chiral phase transition from noninteger numbers of flavors". In: *Phys. Rev. D* 97.11 (2018), p. 114511.
- [12] F. Cuteri et al. "The chiral phase transition from strong to weak coupling". In: *38th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory*. Dec. 2021.