# **Deducing correction factors for European** anthropogenic emission inventories:



WHAT WE LEARN FROM OBSERVATIONS USING JOINT 4D-VAR DATA ASSIMILATION

# **Anne Caroline Lange, Pascal Backes, Hendrik Elbern, Philipp Franke**

**contact**: ann.lange@fz-juelich.de

## Motivation

Air quality models & air pollution mitigation strategies rely on the quality of emission data, which generally includes large uncertainties. In particular,

- anthropogenic emission inventories base on estimates using bottom-up/top-down approaches
- spatio-temporally total national emission amounts are distributed based on diverse proxy data (e.g. population density,

# High resolution emission corrections

National emissions are analysed with respect to the national sum, horizontal distribution and the temporal evolution of national mean emission correction factors.



traffic density, energy consumption etc.), which can be highly variable



To minimize these uncertainties and to assess the spatial distribution of anthropogenic emissions, a 4D-var reanalysis is performed under the following conditions:

- full year reanalysis of air quality in Europe for 2016
- joint optimization of initial value & emission factors with EURAD-IM
- vertical & temporal emission profiles are assumed less uncertain
- CAMS emission data for Europe, UBA/GRETA emission data for Germany (gridded on the model resolution)
- Assessment of emission corrections for
  - Europe (15 x 15  $\text{km}^2$ )
  - Germany (5 x 5 km<sup>2</sup>)
  - 3 German regions (1 x 1 km<sup>2</sup>) lacksquare

## European emission corrections

- total national emission amount remains similar but horizontal distribution is changed in the analysis
- emission corrections are horizontally very heterogeneous & reflect regional characteristics (e.g. road network, highly populated areas)



NO<sub>x</sub> & NH<sub>3</sub> correction factors follow a clear trend throughout 2016 emission corrections are dependent on meteorological conditions

The 2016 reanalysis using sequential 4d-var cycles with 24 hwindows allows for a substantial improvement of the representation of atmospheric pollutants in the EURAD-IM simulations.



- the enhancement of the background compared to the reference bases on emission corrections from the previous day
- the underestimation of NO2 concentrations is significantly reduced in the analysis
- EURAD-IM performance & results are comparable to other regional CTMs  $\rightarrow$  frequent evaluation within regional CAMS

#### Analysed national mean emission corrections

# Polluter specific emission corrections

A new approach has been developed to derive emission correction factors resolving individual polluter groups (GNFR sectors).

#### Assumptions:

- temporal emission profiles are dependent on polluter group
- full correlation of different species within one polluter group
- road transport: stretching of gradient information along road system

#### Extended cost function:

$$J(x,u_1,u_2,...,u_M) = \frac{1}{2} x^T B^{-1} x + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^M u_i^T K_i^{-1} u_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left( y_j - H(x_j) \right)^T R^{-1} \left( y_j - H(x_j) \right)$$

Dortmund

Dortmund

ologne

Correction of NO<sub>v</sub> emissions in different sectors

#### The analysis of emission



The reanalysis shows stronger corrections in the South & East [%] of Europe change influence of observational network Emission configuration ability to correct non-observed species





#### Mitglied der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

This work is funded by the German Environment Agency (UBA) with the grant number FKZ 3717512520. The authors gratefully acknowledge the computing time granted through JARA-HPC on the supercomputers JURECA and JUWELS at Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC).