# RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

# Simulations of collisionless plasmas: multiphysics coupling and low rank decomposition

Florian Allmann-Rahn<sup>1</sup>, Rainer Grauer<sup>1</sup>, Katharina Kormann<sup>2</sup> and Simon Lautenbach<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Theoretical Physics I, Ruhr-University Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany <sup>2</sup> Numerical Mathematics, Ruhr-University Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany <sup>3</sup> Dept. of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Austin, TX 78712, USA

# RUB

# **General Introduction**

**Kinetic and Fluid models:** 

s = electrons/ions

► Vlasov/Maxwell

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x f_s + \frac{q_s}{m_s} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \nabla_v f_s = 0$$

► 10 moment Fluid/Maxwell

$$\frac{\partial n_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}_s) = 0$$
  
$$m_s \frac{\partial (n_s \mathbf{u}_s)}{\partial t} - n_s q_s (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}_s = 0$$
  
$$s \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_s}{\partial t} - q_s (n_s \text{sym}(\mathbf{u}_s \otimes \mathbf{E}) + \frac{1}{m_s} \text{sym}(\mathcal{P}_s \times \mathbf{B})) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}_s = 0$$

# Results

# Coupled simulations







► 5 moment Fluid/Maxwell

$$\frac{\partial n_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{u}_s) = 0$$
  
$$\frac{\partial (n_s \mathbf{u}_s)}{\partial t} - n_s q_s (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{u}_s \times \mathbf{B}) + \frac{1}{N} \nabla (2\mathcal{E}_s - m_s n_s \mathbf{u}_s^2) + \nabla \cdot (m_s n_s \mathbf{u}_s \otimes \mathbf{u}_s) = 0$$
  
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_s}{\partial t} - q_s n_s \mathbf{u}_s \cdot \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{N} \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{u}_s ((N+2)\mathcal{E}_s - m_s n_s u_s^2) \right) = 0$$

► Maxwell

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{j} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$

 $n_s = \int f_s \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v},$ ► density  $\mathbf{u}_s = \frac{1}{n} \int \mathbf{v} f_s \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{v},$ mean velocity  $\rho = \sum_{s} q_{s} n_{s}$ , current density  $\mathbf{j} = \sum_{s} q_{s} n_{s} \mathbf{u}_{s}$ , charge density  $\mathcal{P}_s = m_s \int \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} f_s \mathrm{d} \mathbf{v},$ second moment  $\mathcal{E}_s = \frac{m_s}{2} \int \mathbf{v}^2 f_s \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v} = \mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{P}_s)/2,$ scalar second moment  $Q_s = m_s \int \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} f_s d\mathbf{v}$ third moment dim. of velocity space Ν



Figure 3: Current density |j| (left) next to the utilized plasma schemes (right) at different times in the foreshock reconnection simulation.





## ► Hierarchical Tucker



#### **Numerical Setup**

# Scaling on JUWELS Booster



Figure 1: Computing time scaling of *muphy*II while increasing resolution and number of GPUs on JUWELS Booster at Jülich Supercomputing Centre.

## From fluid to kinetics

Scheme	Description	Criterion	
VeViM	Vlasov electrons, Vlasov ions	$j > 1.25 \ n_0 v_{A,0}$	$\vee$ $u_e > 4.0 v_{A,0}$
F10eViM	10 moment electrons, Vlasov ions	$j > 0.75 n_0 v_{A,0}$	$\vee$ $u_e > 2.0 v_{A,0}$
F10eF10iM	10 moment electrons, 10 moment ions	$j > 0.30 \ n_0 v_{A,0}$	$\vee$ $u_e > 1.0 v_{A,0}$
F5eF10iM	5 moment electrons, 10 moment ions	$j > 0.10 \ n_0 v_{A,0}$	$\vee$ $u_e > 0.5 v_{A,0}$
	E moment electrone E moment ione	alca	,



Figure 4: Out-of-plane current density at  $t = 62.83 \Omega_{i,0}$  in the low-rank simulation and comparable full grid simulations.

#### Summary

#### **Results achieved so far:**

- dynamic coupling of  $VeViM \longleftrightarrow F10eViM \longleftrightarrow F10eF10iM \longleftrightarrow F5eF10iM \longleftrightarrow F5eF5iM$
- hierachical Tucker: speedup 70
- step to global simulations

#### What's next?

coupling to MHD

грегрии 5 moment electrons, 5 moment ions else.

Table 1: Plasma models and criteria used in the coupled simulation of foreshock reconnection.

#### ► Hierarchical Tucker



Figure 2: At each coordinate (x, y, z) the velocity distribution  $f(v_x, v_y, v_z)$  is decomposed according to the shown hierarchical Tucker tree. The coordinates which the respective factor matrices belong to are highlighted in blue.

#### **Publications**

F. Allmann-Rahn, R. Grauer, K. Kormann JCP 469 (2022) 111562

F. Allmann-Rahn, S. Lautenbach, R. Grauer JGR - Space Physics 127 (2022) 29976

F. Allmann-Rahn, S. Lautenbach, R. Grauer, R. D. Sydora JPP 87 (2021) 905870115

S. Lautenbach, R. Grauer Frontiers in Physics 6 (2018) 113









**Contact:** grauer@tp1.rub.de