How to Prevent Rebound of a Droplet on a Solid Surface with a Small Amount of Polymer Additives



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Eunsang Lee¹, Hari K. Chilukoti², Dejian Qiu¹, and Florian Müller-Plathe¹

¹Eduard-Zintl Institute of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Technical University of Darmstadt, Alarich-Weiss-Street 8, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Warangal, Warangal, Telangana 506004, India

Motivation and Objectives

- Importance of understanding molecular processes in droplet wetting of a solid surface
- Increasing interest to realistic problems including effects of complex fluids and heterogeneous rough surfaces

Contact Line Friction and Dynamic Contact Angle by Polymer Adsorption

- Investigation of a contact line friction of a capillary bridge under steady shear using non-equilibrium MDPD
- Derivation of an equation of molecular kinetic theory of wetting modified by local polymer concentration adsorbed on a contact line region
- Development and application of non-equilibrium molecular simulation methods for droplets under extreme conditions
- Measurement of appropriate quantitative indicators from simulations and comparison with experiments
- Finding molecular origins of wetting and dewetting phenomena
- Controlling a droplet rebound with a small amount of polymer additives

Computational Methods

- Non-equilibrium Multi-body dissipative particle dynamics (MDPD) simulations
- Attractive force depending on the local particle density

•
$$F_{ij}^{C} = B_{ij} w^{B}(r_{ij}) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{ij} + A_{ij} (\bar{\rho}_{i} + \bar{\rho}_{j}) w^{A}(r_{ij}) \cdot \boldsymbol{e}_{ij}, \text{ where } \bar{\rho}_{i} = \frac{15}{2\pi r_{B}^{3}} \sum_{i \neq i} w^{B}(r_{ij})$$

• w(r): Cut-off functions of repulsive and attractive forces with the cut-off distances, 0.75 and 1.0 • Modified velocity-Verlet algorithm for position-velocity integrations

Results and Discussion

Rebound Suppression by Elastic Pulling of Adsorbed Polymer

- Investigation of polymer effect on a rebound suppression by using non-equilibrium MDPD simulations
- Small amount of polymer not changing Weber and Reynolds numbers
- Explaining experimental observations of of consistent spreading behavior (spreading diameter) for different polymer contents

$$\gamma_{\rm lv}(\cos\theta_{\rm e} - \cos\theta_{\rm d}(v_{\rm cl})) = \gamma_{\rm lv}(\cos\theta_{\rm e}^{(\rm s)} - \cos\theta_{\rm e}^{(\rm p)})(\widetilde{x}_{\rm p}(v_{\rm cl}) - \widetilde{x}_{\rm p,e}) + v_{\rm cl}\zeta_{\rm cl}(v_{\rm cl})$$

where $\zeta_{\rm cl}(v_{\rm cl}) \equiv \widetilde{x}_{\rm s}(v_{\rm cl})\zeta_{\rm cl}^{(\rm s)} + \widetilde{x}_{\rm p}(v_{\rm cl})\zeta_{\rm cl}^{(\rm p)}$

- Only a receding contact line affected by polymer
- Explaining a slow retraction mechanism of the rebound suppression by polymer without altering spreading dynamics



Fig. 5 Simulation snapshot of a capillary bridge under steady shear. Polymer molecules are adsorbed on receding contact lines.



 $N_{\rm p}=1$ 20

local polymer concentration and dynamic contact angle. Solid line indicates the analytical prediction of the contact line friction coefficient above.

Contact Line Dynamics of a Capillary Bridge on a Rough Superhydrophobic Surface

- Investigation of the effect of surface roughness on the contact line dynamics of a capillary bridge in the Cassie-Baxter superhydrophobic state using non-equilibrium MDPD
- Contact angle hysteresis originating from pinning force as well as shear force from the whole liquid-solid interface
- Strong liquid/solid interface friction caused by liquid particles slightly penetrating into the grooves which act like solid particles

- Main difference observed in the hopping stage
- Slow hopping mechanism: polymers adsorbed on a surface acting as a spring during hopping (prevailing in smaller droplets)





Fig. 1 Spreading factors as a function of time for different polymer contents in a droplets

Fig. 2 Simulation snapshot of hopping mechanism

Rebound Suppression by Large Contact Line Friction during Retraction

- Slow retraction mechanism: polymers retarding a retraction by increasing friction at three phase contact lines (in larger droplets)
- Adsorbed amount of polymer (altered by polymer-surface attraction strength, impact velocity) affecting both mechanisms





Fig. 7 (Black) Instant contact line friction force and (red) the distance traveled by contact line from the position of the reference solid particle as a function of time

Conclusions



Fig. 8 Particle density map at three different stage of dynamic wetting, (left) pinning, (center) depinning, and (right) sliding. Red dashed lines indicate an liquid-vapor interface and colored squares show pillars moving toward left.

- Droplet rebound can be suppressed by a small amount of polymer additives through slow hopping and slow retraction mechanisms.
- The amount of polymer adsorption is a key to determine the rebound suppression.
- The friction of the retracting contact line increases due to the adsorbed polymer.
- The contact line friction can be formulated as a function of local polymer concentration at the contact line.
- The intermediate state between Wenzel and Cassie-Boxter states has to be considered to understand the contact line friction on a rough surface.

Acknoledgement

• GCS Collaborative Research Centre Transregio 75, Droplet Dynamics in Extreme environments of the Deutsche Forchungsgemeinschaft

Fig. 3 (left) Simulation snapshots of slow retraction mechanism. Small contact angle by a strong friction manifests during the retraction. (right) Top view simulation snapshot during the retraction.

• Molecular kinetic theory (MKT) of wetting for contact line friction

$$v_{\rm CL} = 2\kappa_0\lambda\sinh\left(rac{\gamma(\cos\theta_0 - \cos\theta)}{2nk_{\rm B}T}
ight) \approx \gamma(\cos\theta_0 - \cos\theta)/\zeta_{\rm CL}, \quad {\rm where} \qquad \zeta_{\rm CL} = nk_{\rm B}T/\kappa_0\lambda_{\rm CL}$$

• Relation between contact angle-contact line velocity • Slow retraction mechanism: Large contact line friction retarding retraction and resulting in droplet deposition • Coexisting both mechanisms

> Fig. 4 Contact angle as a function of contact line velocity during retraction for different polymer-wall attraction strengths. Dotted lines represent the fitted function of each data, and the dashed lines indicate the friction coefficient calculated from MKT.



• GCS Supercomputer JUWELS at Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JCS)

Project Publications

[1] Lee, E., Chilukoti, H. K., Müller-Plathe, F., "Rebound suppression of a droplet impactig on a supersolvophobic surface by a small amount of polymer additives" ACS Macro Letters 10, 192-196, 2021

[2] Lee, E., Chilukoti, H. K., Müller-Plathe, F., "Suppressing the rebound of impacting droplets from solvophobic surfaces by polymer additives: polymer adsorption and molecular mechanisms" Soft Matter 17, 6952-6963, 2021

[3] Lee, E., Müller-Plathe, F., "Effect of polymer on the contact line friction of a capillary bridge" *Macromolecules* 55, 2649-2658, 2022

[4] Lee, E., Müller-Plathe, F., "Contact line friction and dynamic contact angles of capillary bridge between superhydrophbic nanosctructured surfaces" J. Chem. Phys. 157, 024701, 2022 [5] Lee, E., Chilukoti, H. K., Müller-Plathe, F., "Stopping droplet with polymer addives: A molecular viewpoint" in Droplet Dynamics under extreme ambient conditions, Springer, Cham. 87-106, 2022