25th Anniversary of NIC agram at ensity Constraining the phase diagram

Constraining the phase diagram of strong-interaction matter

Darmstadt, 10.04.14

lipsen

ıstadt, 10.04.14

QCD



Lattice gauge theory for heavy ion and nuclear physics











**Owe Philipsen** 

### Quantum Chromodynamics, theory of strong interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \frac{1}{4g^2} \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \bar{\psi}_i [\gamma_\mu D_\mu + m_i] \psi_i$$

 $m_u \sim 3 {
m MeV}, \quad m_d \sim 6 {
m MeV}, \quad m_s \sim 120 {
m MeV} \implies N_f \approx 2+1$ 

weak vs. strong coupling:



# Monte Carlo evaluation

Euclidean partition function:

$$Z = \int D\bar{\psi}D\psi DU \,\mathrm{e}^{-S_g[U] - S_f[U,\bar{\psi},\psi]}$$

[Budapest, Marseille, Wuppertal, Nature 2010]



Systematics: finite V,a effects

for hadron with  $m_H$  ,  $\xi \sim m_H^{-1}$   $a \ll \xi \ll aL \; !$ 



 $\Rightarrow$ e.g.  $30^4 \sim 10^6$  lattice points

every point  $\Rightarrow$ 4 U's, every  $U \in$  SU(3)  $\Rightarrow$ 8 independent components  $\Rightarrow$ 10<sup>8</sup>-dimensional integral!

Directly calculable: particle masses, decay constants, equilibrium thermodynamics

# Chiral symmetry: breaking and restoration

chiral critical line on N

consistent with tri-critica

But:  $N_f = 2$  chiral O(4)  $U_A(1)$  physical point: crossover in

) chiral critical line on  $N_t$  =

U tent with U d cal p  
but: 
$$N_f = 2$$
 chirar O(4) vs  
 $U_A(1)$  anomaly!

aneous symmetry breaking: D at high temperature/de

le mass in the Universe

20

15

10

5

 $\left( \right)$ 



#### Phase diagram of water

#### Phase diagram of QCD?

Controlled region, smooth crossover observed



https://www.101diagrams.com/phase-diagrams-of-water-printable/



Source: GSI Darmstadt

Situation with finite density (nuclear matter) not simulable by Monte Carlo (sign problem) Indirect methods!

# The nature of the QCD chiral transition



... is elusive, massless limit not simulable!

analytic predictions: [Pisarski, Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D, 84]

- Coarse lattices or unimproved actions: I st order for  $N_f = 2, 3$
- igsquirin Ist order region shrinks rapidly as a
  ightarrow 0

Improved staggered actions: no 1st order region so far, even for  $N_f = 3$ Details and reference list: [O.P., Symmetry 13, 2021]

### Resolution: scaling in lattice parameter space



- Tricritical scaling observed in lattice bare parameter space
- Allows extrapolation to lattice chiral limit, tricritical points  $N_{ au}^{ ext{tric}}(N_f)$
- If tricritical point exists: region of Ist-order transitions not connected to continuum

QCD chiral transition is second order for  $N_f = 2 - 7$ 

5-6 years of simulations on Goethe-HLR (Frankfurt), LQCD and VIRGO (GSI), JUQUEEN (NIC)

# The QCD thermal transition in the continuum



Fully non-perturbative calculations necessary!

and with the second of the second of the second and prevent prices of the second prevent prices of the second prevent of the second prevent of the second prevent prices of the second prevent prevent prices of the second prevent pre attractive provides an analytic crossover with a nen-zero order parameter every-ntractive provides and the second of the second in the second second with a stand of the second of the sec Autor and the second of the se Set ively it was is to be the set of the se billies at a given temperature approximation for the super super super temperature, symmetry of continuum-extrapolated values for  $\kappa_2$  in Equation (22). but in Fig. 3 which and by and by at a given temperature of the transferred staggered to be the staggered by and by at a given temperature of the staggered by and by at a given temperature of the staggered by t oefficients, reconstructed from the Hoto Chronic production of the order of the trace of the tr 2. (Left): Relation of the tentative QCD phase diagram with physical light quark masses (back  $k_2$ Ref. (23) b the chiral limit (front plane) according to [75,76]. (**Right**): If the entire chiral  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  by  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  by  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  by  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  by  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  by  $\pi$  and  $\pi$  by  $\pi$  and  $\pi$   $T_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}}(0) \approx 485 \text{MeV}_{[84]}$ assless limit is of second or def) its draws) tion at the pinnage point or the sine area staggered 0.0135(20) imag.  $\mu$ , storet-smeared staggered MeV [85] e Crossover <mark>at Small Baryon</mark> ere Airectesimulations with Selfeeduseweight Wartact prormation about the Budpest collaboration, PRD 21] 

### Conclusions



Phenomenologically relevant constraints on phase diagram emerging

Complete phase diagram in ~5 years?